



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,511	04/30/2001	Lawrence J. Thomas	108-4142P US 1	4069


LEON R. YANKWICH  
YANKWICH & ASSOCIATES  
201 BROADWAY  
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139

EXAMINER	
NGUYEN, DAVE TRONG	
ARTICLE	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2002

Please find below and or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. <b>09 845,511</b>	Applicant's <b>Thomas</b>	
Examiner <b>Dave Nguyen</b>	Art Unit <b>1632</b>	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136, although, however, may a reply be timely filed after 6 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- The period for reply specified above is less than the 30-day period for reply with this statutory minimum of 30 days will be considered time.
- A 120-day period for reply is specified above; the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 133).
- A reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any awarded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

### Status

- 1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Aug 22, 2002*
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.
- 2b)  This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4)  Claim(s) 17-35 is are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is are withdrawn from consideration
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is are allowed
- 6)  Claim(s) 17-35 s are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ s are objected to.
- 8) Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10)  The drawing(s) filed on *Apr 30, 2001* is are a) \_\_\_\_\_ accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.35(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) \_\_\_\_\_ approved b) \_\_\_\_\_ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) A)  E) \_\_\_\_\_ Some\* b)  None of:  
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received  
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_  
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))  
\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)  
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received
- 15)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121

### Attachment(s)

- 1)  Notice of Refusal (PTO 891)
- 2)  Notice of Disposition of Claims (PTO 238)
- 3)  Notice of Appeal (PTO 1211) (Page 1)
- 4)  Interview Summary (PTO 411) (Page 1)
- 5)  Notice of Finality (PTO 101)
- 6)  \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 1632

Claim 35 has been amended by the amendment filed August 22, 2002. The restriction of record has been withdrawn by the examiner in view of applicant's amendment to the claim and applicant response filed on August 22, 2002.

The drawings are objected in view of the reasons set forth in the attached PTO-948. **A complete response to this office action must include a response to the objection or a filing of corrected drawings so as to obviate the objection.**

The IDS filed including references and form PTO-1449 has been filed as paper No. 3. However, the entire IDS has been misplaced by the office and is not in the case. The examiner request a submission of the IDS for the examiner's consideration.

Claims 17-35, to which the following grounds of rejection are applicable, are pending.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 17-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 1632

Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation have been summarized in In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 8USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988). They include (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims.

Nature of the invention, breadth of the claims, the amount of direction or guidance presented

The claimed invention was based on a hypothesis that the CETP-expressing transgenic murine model is an art-recognized model for atherosclerosis, and that increased levels of CETP activity may be predictive of increased risk of cardiovascular disease. The as-filed application further states that endogenous CETP activity is thus an attractive therapeutic target for modulating the relative levels of lipoproteins to prevent or inhibit the development of or to promote regression of cardiovascular diseases such as atherosclerosis. On the basis of this hypothesis, applicant's claimed invention is mainly directed to employed plasmid-based vaccine expressing a CETP peptide so as elicit a therapeutic and/or prophylactic effect in inhibiting the endogenous CETP activity thereby preventing or treating any cardiovascular disease in any animal or a human subject at risk of having a cardiovascular disease or a cardiovascular disease bearing patient.

The application further states provides guidance and/or factual evidence showing a rabbit model for atherosclerosis, wherein an intramuscular injection of a plasmid-based vaccine expressing a CETP peptide elicits an increased immune response that effects a reduction of endogenous CETP, and a reduction of atherosclerotic lesions in rats fed with cholesterol.

On the basis of applicant's showing of the hypothesis using transgenic mice model, which illustrates that an increased levels of CETP activity may be predictive of increased risk of cardiovascular disease, and of applicant's rabbit model for atherosclerosis, applicant contemplates and claims that any of applicant's

Art Unit: 1632

claimed plasmid based vaccines can be used to provide a therapeutically or prophylactically cardiovascular benefit in any animal or a human subject or patient.

The state of the prior art, the unpredictability of the art, and the relative skill of those in the ATHEROSLEROSIS-therapy art.

With respect to the state of the prior art, no prior art from the time the invention was made until now (about 6 years after the effective filing date of this instant application) has demonstrated that any DNA vaccine, has been successful in treating an atherosclerotic patient in the real world as intended by applicants at the time the invention was made.

More specifically as to the nature of the invention which claims that DNA plasmid based vaccine expressing a CETP peptide can be a therapeutically or prophylactically master drug to treat any animal or human at risk or having a cardiovascular disease, which disease and its corresponded lipoprotein metabolism is well-known in the prior art as being complex and not coreratable among animal species. For example, Gordon (The New England J. of Medicine, Vol. 321, 19, pp. 1311-1316, 1989) indicates that while higher HDL levels associate with higher rates of coronary disease such as atherosclerosis, neither our present understanding of lipid metabolism nor the association provides assurance that intervention to raise levels of HDL would be beneficial (page 1134, column 1, second paragraph), and that the only way those skilled in the art can confidently apply what we have learned about HDL to the amelioration and prevention of coronary disease is to apply a real working protocol or trial in atherogenic patient with low HDL (page 1315, first column, last paragraph). In addition, Luc *et al.* (Database Embase, AN : 97251152, Sang Trombose Vaisseuax, Vol. 9/4, pp. 206-212), states (abstract) :

The incidence of coronary heart disease is inversely correlated to a high density lipoprotein (HDL) concentration. However, the direct responsibility of HDL in the appearance and the development of atherosclerotic lesions is hypothetical. The biological function of HDL in reverse cholesterol transport from peripheral tissues to the liver is an argument in favor of direct protective role of HDL.

Art Unit: 1632

However, the absence of atherosclerosis in a few subjects with a very low HDL level due to mutations in the apolipoprotein (apo) A1 gene or LCAT gene suggests that HDL such as they appear in normolipidemic subjects are not indispensable in preventing the appearance of atherosclerosis.... Furthermore, a hypoalphalipoproteinemia is frequently concomitant to other metabolic abnormalities such as a high level of VLDL remnants and a small size of LDL. abnormalities know as atherogenic factors.

Assmann *et al.* (J. of Cardiovascular Pharmacology, 16/Suppl. 9 (S15-S20), 1991) reaffirms the complexity of atherosclerosis and indicates that while it is well recognized within the scientific community that HDL plays an important role in the atherosclerotic process, "the complexity of the processes involved in HDL metabolism makes it likely that besides HDL cholesterol reductions that **predispose** to arteriosclerosis, there will also be those that are not associated with a particular risk" (abstract), and that "the detailed further analysis of genetic and secondary HDL deficiency syndromes as well as the identification of the precise biochemical mechanisms underlying reversed cholesterol transport remain a challenge in the understanding of the role of HDL in atherosclerosis" (abstract). In addition, in a review article coauthored by Stein not only questions the validity of extrapolating the results from the transgenic rabbit model to humans (abstract, page 292 bridging page 293), but also indicates that atherosclerosis is a multistep process, the various components of HDL can intervene at different states, such as induction of monocyte adhesion molecules, prevention of LDL modification and removal of excess cholesterol by reverse cholesterol transport, and that while transgenic technology has provided a model for atherosclerosis, the extent to which pharmacological attempts of increasing HDL levels so as to **prevent human atherosclerosis needs further evaluation** (abstract).

The evidentiary support as indicated above not only expresses doubts as to applicant's contemplation and claim of therapeutic application of any DNA plasmid based vaccine expressing any CETP peptide as a magic bullet to treat any atherosclerotic disease in an atherosclerotic patient, let alone subject at risk of having a cardiovascular disease, but also clearly indicates that while transgenic technology

Art Unit: 1632

may be valuable in studying atherosclerotic process and **various risk factors that contribute to the process**. the transgenic model is not the same as therapeutic application of CETP expressing plasmid based vaccine in treating any animal or human so as to provide a cardiovascular benefit as contemplated by applicant at the time the invention was made.

Notwithstanding that fact that more than 6 years after the effective filing date of the claimed invention, there is no evidentiary support from any prior art as a result of examiner's exhaust prior art search, which indicates that CETP peptide expressing plasmid based vaccines can be used therapeutically or prophylactically in treating any real world-animal or human at risk of or having a cardiovascular disease. the following prior art further teaches that an extrapolation from mice or rabbit models to a reasonable enablement of a prophylactic or therapeutic application of CETP peptide expressing plasmid based vaccines in treating a human remains complex and unpredictable.

1/ Stedronsky, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta – Lipids and Lipid Metabolism*, 1210/3, 255-287.

1994, states (abstract):

Not all of the animal testing which has been used to measure hypocholesterolemic effects is of equal reliability or applicability to man. Work done in the rat and rabbit needs to be interpreted with caution...the profile of lipoproteins in plasma in the rat differs from that in the human in that the rat carries most of its cholesterol in HDL, while the human carries a much higher proportion in LDL. The rat liver rapidly clears lipoprotein remnants from the circulation. The rat also lacks an active cholesteryl ester transfer protein, CETP. In view of these differences, great care must be applied to extrapolation of these data and conclusions from the rat to man.

2/ Even in 2000, McNamara in the review article of *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1529, 2000, 310-320 states (page 311 column 1 bridging column 2).

The plasma cholesterol response in dietary cholesterol is highly variable across and within animal species. While rabbits are highly susceptible to dietary cholesterol, rats and dogs exhibit little change in plasma total cholesterol even with high doses of dietary cholesterol. Non-human

Art Unit: 1632

primates are highly variable in their responses to dietary cholesterol and in many species it is only with extremely high doses of dietary cholesterol (0.5-2 mg/kcal or 1250-5000 mg/2500 kcal) that hypercholesterolemia and atherosclerosis can be induced. Another complication of animal studies is that most animal species have a significantly different plasma lipoprotein profile compared to humans. Whereas humans have low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol as the predominant plasma lipoprotein, most animal models have high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol as the major fraction. The species differences in the response to dietary cholesterol, the use of pharmacological doses of dietary cholesterol in many studies, and differences in the plasma lipoprotein profile make extrapolations from the results of animal feeding studies to human health recommendations difficult, if not impossible.

3/ Even with respect to applicant's contemplation of just the exemplified transgenic rabbits being reasonably extrapolated to the **preventive effect of CETP peptides in humans in reducing development of atherosclerosis**, the Stein reference (Atherosclerosis, 144, pages 285-301, 1999) further reaffirmed the doubts expressed by other skilled artisans including Stedronsky and McNamara by stating (abstract):

The findings that LCAT overexpression in rabbits was **atheroprotective** in contrast to increase in atherosclerosis in h [human] LCAT tg [transgenic] mice, which was only partially corrected by CETP expression, call for some caution in the extrapolation of the results from transgenic animals to humans.

As the result of the evidentiary support as cited above, those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, who are clearly aware of the fact that **rat or rabbits** a) exhibit little change in plasma total cholesterol even with high doses of dietary cholesterol; b) do not carry an endogenous level of an active cholesteryl ester transfer protein, CETP, as compared to humans; c) carry most of its cholesterol in HDL, while the human carries a much higher proportion in LDL, and d) rapidly clears lipoprotein remnants



Art Unit: 1632

from the circulation as compared to humans, would have to engage a undue experimentation to reasonably extrapolate from the results observed in the animal mouse or rabbit models provided in the prior art and the as-filed application to the subject matter being sought in the presently pending claims, which subject matter is to provide any cardiovascular benefit to any animal or human at risk of or having a cardiovascular disease.

To further support the lack of reasonable predictability in using animal models for atherosclerosis, and to support the presence the conflicting data coming out at the time the invention was made, particularly with regard to applicant's notion that an increased levels of CETP activity may be predictive of increased risk of cardiovascular disease, and that anti-CETP activity in an animal or a human is an effective therapeutic or prophylactic application of providing a cardiovascular benefit, Hayek *et al.*, J. Clin. Invest., 96, pp 2071-2074, 1995, demonstrated that expressing of CETP **protects against atherosclerosis in hypertriglyceridemic mice.**

The doubts as expressed in Hayek *et al.* are further reaffirmed by the following skilled artisans:

4/ With respect to a human study relied upon by applicants the benefit of not having CETP in the circulation system of a human subject, which is based on a preliminary study on some of the first CETP-deficient Japanese patients who do not develop atherosclerosis, *e.g.*, an earlier study disclosed in Inazu *et al.*, N. Engl. M. Med., 323: 1234-1238, 1990, Zhong *et al.*, J. Clin. Invest, 97:2917-2923, 1996, Hirano *et al.*, Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol., 17:1053-1059, 1997, both tested the hypothesis by performing large population-based studies in Honolulu and Japan, and come up the completely different conclusions by indicating that **low CETP plasma levels due to a common genetic polymorphism as well deficiency of CETP are associated with an increased incidence of coronary heart disease.**

2/ Kunitake *et al.*, J. Lipid Res. 33:1807-1816, 1992, and Newnham *et al.*, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1044:57-64, 1990, both teach that contrary to the hypothesis presented by other skilled artisans that CETP

Art Unit: 1632

activity leads to decreased plasma levels of HDL cholesterol and increased LDL cholesterol. CETP can also promote dissociation of apoA-I from HDL, generating pre- $\beta$ -HDL particles, which together with the enhanced transport of CE to triglyceride-rich lipoproteins, may facilitate reverse cholesterol transport.

3/ Foger *et al.*, The J. of Biological Chemistry, Vol. 274, 52:36912-36920, 1999, also surmised the complexity and unpredictable factors in elucidating art-recognized model for atherosclerosis:

Furthermore, the elucidation of the role that CETP plays in atherogenesis has proved a major challenge. Animal and human studies have provided evidence supporting its function both as a pro-atherogenic, and anti-atherogenic factor. These conflicting data suggest a complex metabolic role for CETP *in vivo* CETP activity can lead to decreased plasma levels of HDL cholesterol and increased LDL cholesterol, a change in the lipoprotein profile that may promote atherogenesis. However, CETP can also promote HDL remodeling with generation of pre- $\beta$ -HDL particles, which together with the CETP-mediated enhanced transfer of CE to triglyceride-rich lipoproteins, can facilitate reverse cholesterol transport. **Ultimately, the effect of CETP on atherosclerosis may depend on which of these functions is most effective in altering the dynamics of cholesterol transport from HDL to the liver, which in turn may depend on the metabolic status of the animal model (i.e., the presence of hypertriglyceridemia, LDL receptor, or apoE deficiency).** (page 36916, column 2, middle of second paragraph).

Foger *et al.* then provides definitive *in vivo* evidence supporting the proposed anti-atherogenic role of CETP in facilitating HDL-mediated reverse cholesterol transport:

Our findings in these mouse models have a counterpart in human patients. Like LCAT-Tg mice, patients with CETP deficiency accumulate apoE-rich HDL1 that exhibits abnormal functional properties with decreased cholesterol efflux and delayed clearance of CE transported with HDL.

Art Unit: 1632

Furthermore, HDL isolated from CETP-deficient patients fail to protect macrophages from foam cell formation. **As in our animal models, the consequence of CETP deficiency, in at least a subset of CETP-deficient patients, is enhanced atherosclerosis.** (page 36919, column 1).

We conclude that CETP expression reduces atherosclerosis in LCAT-Tg mice in restoring the functional properties in LCAT-Tg mice by restoring the functional properties of LCAT-Tg mouse HDL and promoting the hepatic uptake of HDL-CE. These findings provide definitive *in vivo* evidence supporting the proposed anti-atherogenic role of CETP in facilitating HDL-mediated reverse cholesterol transport and demonstrate that CETP expression is beneficial in pro-atherogenic states that result from impaired reverse cholesterol transport (abstract, also page 36919, column 1).

6/ More specifically as to pitfalls of transgenic animal models, the complexity of HDL-cholesterol and its role in anti-atherosclerosis, and the importance of CETP with respect to its role in reverse cholesterol transport system, Yamashita *et al.*, *Atherosclerosis*, 152:271-285, 2000, states:

The deficiency of CETP causes various abnormalities in the concentration, composition, and function of both HDL and low density lipoprotein (LDL). The significance of CETP in terms of atherosclerosis had been controversial. However, the *in vitro* evidence showed large CE-rich HDL particles in CETP deficiency are defective in cholesterol efflux. Similarly, scavenger receptor BI (SR-BI) knockout mice **show a marked increase in HDL-cholesterol but accelerated atherosclerosis** in atherosclerosis-susceptible mice. Recent epidemiological studies in Japanese-Americans and in Omagari area where HALP [hyperalphalipoproteinemia] subjects with the intron 14 splicing defect of CETP gene are markedly frequent, have demonstrated an **increased incidence of coronary atherosclerosis in CETP-deficient patients** (abstract).

Art Unit: 1632

Serum HDL-cholesterol level does not correlated with anti-atherogenicity (page 273. column 2).

These animal models have provided a very good tool for assessing the physiological roles of molecules. However, there are difficulties in drawing conclusions on the atherogenicity of each molecule from studies using animal models such as mice, of which the lipoprotein metabolism is quite different from that of humans. One has to assess the species differences in lipoprotein metabolism. Furthermore, these studies may establish a notion that serum levels of HDL-cholesterol do not necessarily correlate with the degree of protection from atherosclerosis. **It may be crucial to assess the efficiency of reverse cholesterol transport system irrespective of serum HDL-cholesterol levels, since HDL particles become 'dysfunctional' due to the impairment of this system.** (page 276. column 1).

The frequency of CETP deficiency was also reduced in subjects over 80 years of age compared with those under 80 years...Moreover, ultrasound examination of carotid arteries demonstrated higher atherosclerotic scores in hyperalphalipoproteinemic CETP-deficient subjects than in control CETP-positive subjects (page 279. column 2. last paragraph).

However, a careful prospective study on the atherogenicity of patients with CETP deficiency is necessary to drawn conclusions. It is also essential to determine clearly whether the increase in HDL-cholesterol may protect against or rather accelerate atherosclerosis in CETP-deficient patients (page 280. column 2).

**To the authors' current knowledge, the level of serum HDL may not necessarily imply the functional aspects of HDL particles. HALP may be a condition of an impaired reverse cholesterol transport system. The 'dysfunctional HDL particles' produced by the impairment of molecules involved in reverse cholesterol transport system may lead to the**

Art Unit: 1632

**acceleration of atherosclerosis in humans. For this reason, CETP inhibitors may not be a good tool for the purpose of treatment of atherosclerosis. Taken together, it may be important to establish a strategy to assess the efficiency of reverse cholesterol transport system rather than merely determining the level of HDL-cholesterol.** (page 280 bridging page 281).

On the basis of the totality of the art of record, the complexity of the nature of the invention, the lack of an art-recognized model for atherosclerosis, the doubts expressed in the art of record as to applicant's reliance on the inhibition of endogenous CETP circulated in an intended subject such as human for providing any and/or all cardiovascular benefit, a skilled artisan would not have recognized that the as-filed specification provides a reasonable enablement to the claimed invention within the context of DNA vaccine for treating atherosclerosis in any animal or human, and that a skilled artisan would not have to engage an undue experimentation to reasonably extrapolate from applicant's disclosure to any therapeutic or prophylactic application of applicant's claimed invention in treating any animal or human so as to provide any cardiovascular benefit.

Even if assuming for argument that applicant's rabbit model, wherein an intramuscular injection of a DNA plasmid based vaccine can be reasonably extrapolated to any cardiovascular benefit within applicant's contemplation from the as-filed specification, the state of the prior art of DNA vaccine remains unpredictable at the time the invention was made due the lack of reasonable correlations among the animal species, routes of administrations, and the problems of transient *in vivo* transient gene expression from plasmid vectors.

The state of the art exemplified in McCluskie *et al.* (Molecular Medicine, 5, pp. 287-300, 1999) teach that "the realization that results in mice often do not predict the situation in humans has also led to a large number of DNA vaccine studies in non-human primates", that "IM injection of plasmid DNA vaccines, while highly immunogenic in mice... was found to be only relatively so in chimpanzees... and especially not

Art Unit: 1632

all in Aotus monkeys", and that "it is probably safe to say that any vaccine that works in a human will work in a mouse, but not necessarily vice versa" (page 296, column 2, second and third paragraphs). In addition, McCluskie *et al.* teach that "the generally absent responses with the noninjected routes were not unexpected, as the mucosal surfaces are protective barriers, physiologically designed to limit uptake of bacteria, viruses, antigens" (page 296, column 1), and that "although non-human primate models are frequently used for development and testing of human vaccines, it is not clear how predictive they will be in the case of DNA vaccines where efficacy, by virtue of the requirement first to transfect cells and express the antigen, relies on many factors other than immunological responses to the antigen" (page 297, column 1).

More specifically to the limitations of the rat models, Whitlock *et al.* (J. Clin. Invest., 1989, IDS) teach that "an unexpected finding of this study was the TG-rich nature of these rabbits' HDL and LDL", that "this reflects in part the high level of transfer activity in this species, since with CETP inhibition, the HDL composition came to resemble that of nonfasting rabbits" and that "stress-mediated hypertriglyceridemia may also have contributed to this TG [tryglyceride] enrichment".

In addition, major considerations for any gene transfer or DNA therapy protocol involve issues that include:

- 1/ The type of vector and amount of DNA constructs to be administered;
- 2/ The route and time course of administration, the sites of administration, the trafficking of the genetic material within cellular organelles, the rate of degradation of the DNA, the level of mRNA produced, the stability of the mRNA product, the amount and stability of the protein produced, and
- 3/ What amount of the expressed proteins considered to be therapeutically effective for a DNA therapy method

In addition, all of these issues differ dramatically based on the specific vector used, the route of administration, the animal being treated, the protein being produced, and the disease being treated.

More specifically, Anderson summarized the state of the art before 1998, and teaches that gene therapy is a powerful new technology that still requires several years before it will make a noticeable impact on the treatment of disease, and that several major deficiencies still exist including poor delivery systems.

Art Unit: 1632

both viral and non-viral, and poor gene expression after genes are delivered (page 30, column 1, last paragraph). Anderson further teaches that the reason for the low efficiency of gene transfer and expression in human patients is that we still lack a basis understanding of how vectors should be constructed, what regulatory sequences are appropriated for which cell types (page 30, column 1, last paragraph). In addition, Verma *et al.* states that out of the more than 200 clinical trials currently underway, no single outcome can be pointed to as a success story (page 239, column 1), and that one major obstacle to success has been the ability to deliver genes efficiently and obtain sustained expression (page 239, column 3).

Furthermore, the state of the art of Shih *et al.* (Molecular Medicine Today, 1, 8, pp. 364-72, 1995) teach that atherosclerosis is a highly complex disorder with multiple genetic and environmental influences (abstract), that "it is important to note that the mouse has significant limitations for atherosclerosis research", that "there are, for example, several fundamental differences in lipoprotein metabolism between mice and humans", and that "results from studies with mice should be extrapolated to humans with caution" (page 371, column 1). In addition, Feldman *et al.* (J. Clinical Investigation, 95, 6, 2662-71, 1995) teach "Extrapolation of findings reported here for the neointimal lesion induced by arterial injury in the hypercholesterolemic rabbit, to the atherosclerotic lesion responsible for arterial narrowing in humans must be undertaken with some caution. Certain features of advanced primary atherosclerotic lesions in humans are not typical features of lesions generated in this particular animal model". It is not apparent as to availability of DNA plasmid vectors that would generate and produce an effective amount of recombinant B cell CETP epitopes is reasonably extrapolated to any and/or all routes of administrations other than intramuscular injection, particularly since *in vivo* DNA expression is transient and depends of many essential factors including a route of administration, as clearly indicated in the art of record.

Thus, in view of the unpredictability of *in vivo* DNA transfer techniques so as to generate a therapeutically relevant response even in a DNA plasmid based immunization method, as expressed in the art of record, the lack of correlation between an efficacy in a high fat induced rat model and that of a mammal predisposed to atherosclerosis and/or having an atherosclerotic plaque and/or lesion, one skilled

Art Unit: 1632

in the art would not, without any undue experimentation, be able to reasonably extrapolate from the disclosure of the claimed invention to the subject matter being sought in the claims. Furthermore, the breadth of the claimed invention not only encompasses any animal but also is directed specifically to any human subject at risk of or having a cardiovascular disease. However, in view of the reasons set forth above, the lack of teachings regarding as to what are exactly operative embodiments other than intramuscular injection, the doubts expressed by the totality of the art of record, the problems of *in vivo* transient expression, the lack of reasonable correlation between DNA immunization in a murine model and that of other mammals (specifically with regard to routes of administration other than intramuscular injection or gene gun's immunization), the lack of reasonable correlation between one specific epitope of a specific pathogen used in a DNA immunization method and any other specific epitope of any other protein used in a DNA immunization, and the lack of reasonable correlation between applicant's guidance and/or working examples and claims that encompass broadly any therapeutic or prophylactic application of any plasmid based vaccine expressing a CETP peptide in any animal including a human subject, one of skilled in the art would not be able to reasonably extrapolate, without any undue experimentation, from the basis of applicant's disclosure and the state of the prior art to applicant's claimed invention for any enabled embodiment.

Based on the findings above-that all of the Wands factors other than the level of skill in the art weigh in favor of nonenablement: It is the examiner's position that the specification does not provide adequate guidance to enable practice of the claimed invention without undue experimentation. Rather, as in a recent Federal Circuit case, the "teachings set forth in the specification provide no more than a 'plan' or 'invitation' for those of skill in the art to experiment practicing a DNA vaccine encoding a CETP peptide so as to further study the physiological activity and biochemical activities in a treated animal and to plan for future work on the making of a putative DNA vaccine that would overcome the obstacles that were expressed in the art of record...; they do not provide sufficient guidance or specificity as to how to execute that plan." In re



Art Unit: 1632

Wright, (CA FC) 27 USPQ2d 1510 (1993), Enzo Biochem Inc. v. Calgene Inc., 188F.3d 1362,

1374, 52 USPW2d 1129, 1138 (Fed. Cir. 1999). See also Genetech Inc. v. Novo Nordisk A/S, 108

F.3d 1361, 1366, 42, USPQ2d 1001, 1005 (Fed. Cir. 1997):

Tossing out the mere germ of an idea does not constitute enabling disclosure. While every aspect of a genetic claim certainly need not have been carried out by an inventor, or exemplified in the specification, reasonable detail must be provided in order to enable of the public to understand and carry out the invention.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications regarding the formalities should be directed to Patent Analyst Dianiece Jacobs, whose telephone number is **(703) 305-3388**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner *Dave Nguyen* whose telephone number is **(703) 305-2024**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Deborah Reynolds*, may be reached at **(703) 305-4051**.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center number is **(703) 305-7401**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the *Group receptionist* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-0196**.

Dave Nguyen  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 1632